

Cayuse Prairie School District #10
NEEDS ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA
January 17, 2017
5:30pm – Cafeteria

I. CALL TO ORDER - 5:50pm Present: Committee members, members of the community and staff

II. ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Potential Building and Bond presentation and discussion

Amy Piazzola and Shane Jacobs gave an on what the NAC has been doing and what came out of the Fall meetings. The goal of this meeting is to come up with a recommendation for the Board. Some areas of the school are not up to code and may not be feasible to bring it up to code. So we may need to consider demolition. The 1965 (library and computer lab) and 1970 (old gym) additions may be issues. NAC committee had three facility planning sessions (with Nick Salmon) to come up with several ideas for new construction. The school needs to be set up to meet today's demands and the potential demands in the future. We need to look at a more efficient and flexible use of space with limited resources. Range of options go from business as usual to starting all over. Business as usual and starting over not really viable options no matter the outcome of the bond. Shane went over the options that the NAC came up with ranging from 3.1 to 8 million. Consensus at the end of the 3rd meeting ended up with some sticker shock so we asked CTA for 2-3 million options for some sort of phasing. Bonding capacity is about 7million.

To figure out taxes on a bond: taxable value x mills/1000. Went over the potential tax payer impact. Example 200K property would annually pay about \$72 for a 2mil is \$72 bond; 3mil is \$108; and, 6 mil is \$216.

Discussion - What is it we want to do? What are we trying to do? Science space for MS Right now we don't have the facilities for an effective middle school. This is particularly important when you consider that there is no science lab in the school. With STEM professions being what is needed in the future, we need to keep up with the needs through education. If we can't, then we stand to lose students who will go to schools that offer more STEM oriented classes. A 4-6 million solution addresses this problem as well as safety and security, overcrowding, and infrastructure for technology. A 2million bond is just putting a band aid on the problem.

This year we had to turn out of district students away because of overcrowding. What would happen if all of our students going out of district would come in, that would be an

additional 43 student we would have to serve. Curriculum should drive structure. Hesitation comes from the expectation that the bond will be defeated, which means we need to educate our community on the needs.

Note: Building codes are added to every 3 years. So if looking at an option for two story, at least shell in the second story, even if you plan on finishing it later. It would be exempt from updated structural codes.

The Committee likes the ideas of a 5 million plus bond option. They would like to see a PR campaign set forth to educate the community about the needs of the school before trying to run a bond.

III. ADJOURN

With no further discussion, the meeting ended at 8:08pm.